

United States Patent and Trademark-Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,655	04/30/2001	Raul E. Ayala	GLO 2 0046-3	2722	
75	90 08/14/2003				
Timothy E. Nauman, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Fay, Sharpe, Fag Minnich & Mck			KEANEY, ELIZA	ABETH MARIE	
1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
		•	2882	2882 .	
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary G9/845,655			lm	/				
Examiner Elizabeth Gemmell 2882	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Elizabeth Gemmell 2882 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rresp indence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Edections of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - IN No period for reply is specified above, the reasonium estatutory profice will apply and will septically explication to become 384NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office inter than three months after the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extanded period for reply will, by slatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office inter than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). Status 1)	Office Action Summers	09/845,655	AYALA ET AL.					
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estandard of time may be variables under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, it less than three mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, it her stantity (20) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, it her stantity period will apply and will apply a	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after Six (b) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the attentory maintenance in the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the attention with the period for reply with the tent or period period for reply with the tent or period period for reply with the tent or period period for reply within the attention and the period period for reply within the attention and the period period for reply within the attention and the period period for reply within the attention to the communication become ABAMONIRD (33 Kz 6; 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any camed partent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 30 May 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are pending in the application requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filled on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 1) □ The proposed drawing correction filled on is accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected	The MAN INC DATE of this according to		ļ					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estinations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be smelly filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply sepecified above, the smaximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the smaximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reclude any search patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 May 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) fear allowed. 6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The order of celeration is objected to make the examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner. 12) The order of celeration is objected to by the Examiner. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2) Ceptified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3								
2a] This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 h	<i>lay 2003</i> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non-final.						
A) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		expante quayie, 1000 c.e. 11, 1	00 0.0. 210.					
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-19</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
6)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-19</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-19</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		r election requirement.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 April 2001</u> is/are: a)∑	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by t	he Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)		,, 						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/845,655

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filled 30 May 2003.

The indicated allowability of claims 7-15, 18 and 19 from the previous Office Action (paper number 4) is withdrawn in view of the recognition that Vriens et al. (US Patent 5,813,753; hereinafter Vriens), Ohuchi et al. (US Patent 5,793,061; hereinafter Ohuchi), Jansma (US Patent 5,838,100), and Justel et al. (US Patent 6,084,250; hereinafter Justel) teach the subject matter of new claim 1 and claims 8-15,18 and 19. Any inconvenience is regretted. Rejections based on the newly cited reference are found below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6,8,12,13,16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vriens.

Re claims 1 and 2: Vriens discloses, in figure 2 and throughout the disclosure, a light source comprising:

- a light emitting diode (21);
- at least one phosphor material (24);
- at least one UV reflecting material (23);
 - o wherein the UV reflecting material reflects at least a substantial portion of UV light emitted by the light emitting component (column 3, lines 40+).

Page 3

Re claim 3: Vriens discloses that the light emitting diode emits light in at least one of the blue region and the UV region of the electromagnetic spectrum (column 2, line 5).

Re claim 4: Vriens discloses the phosphor being excited by light emitted from the light emitting diode (column 3, lines 20+).

Re claim 5: Vriens discloses the phosphor material able to convert UV light to visible light (column 3, lines 35+).

Re claim 6: Vriens discloses the UV reflecting material reflects UV light into the phosphor material (column 3, lines 40+).

Art Unit: 2882

Re claim 8: Vriens discloses a UV reflecting mirror (23). Since a mirror inherently reflects almost 100% of the light impinging on it, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the UV mirror would reflect at least 90% of any UV light not converted to visible light by the phosphor material.

Re claim 12: Vriens discloses, in figure 2 and throughout the disclosure, the UV reflective material (23) disposed as a layer adjacent to the phosphor material (24).

Re claim 13: Vriens discloses, in figure 2 and throughout the disclosure, the UV reflecting material (23) disposed as a layer adjacent a layer of a transparent material (25).

Re claim 16: Vriens discloses a UV reflecting material which reflects light in the range of about 350-400nm (column 3, lines 40+). Since the UV reflecting material reflects the light in the blue/UV range, the respective wavelengths in that range is within the range of 350-400nm. Therefore, it is an inherent property of the UV reflecting material to reflect light in the 350-400nm range.

Re claim 17: Vrines discloses a phosphor material that converts light reflected by the UV reflecting material to visible light (column 3, lines 35+).

Art Unit: 2882

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Justel.

Justel discloses, in figure 1 and throughout the disclosure, a white light emitting device (column 1, lines 10+) comprising:

- a light emitting diode (column 2, line 63);
- at least one phosphor containing layer (2);
- at least one UV reflecting material containing layer (4);
 - the UV reflecting material containing layer disposed outwardly from the phosphor containing layer; and
- at least one encapsulant layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-11,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vriens in view of Jansma.

Re claims 9-11: Vriens shows all the limitations as shown above.

However, Vriens fails to show the UV reflecting material comprised of about 5-80 wt% of gamma alumina and about 20-95 wt% alpha alumina.

Jansma discloses the use of UV reflecting material comprised of about 5-80 wt% of gamma alumina and about 20-95 wt% alpha alumina within a light discharge device (column 2, lines 45+).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use UV reflecting material comprised of about 5-80 wt% of gamma alumina and about 20-95 wt% alpha alumina as the UV reflecting material in the device disclosed by Vriens because by using a UV reflecting material comprised of about 5-80 wt% of gamma alumina and about 20-95 wt% alpha alumina the UV light is reflected more efficiently and therefore produces higher light intensity of the device.

Re claims 14 and 15: Vriens shows all the limitations as shown above.

However, Vriens fails to disclose UV reflecting material dispersed in a phosphor material containing layer, wherein the concentration of UV reflecting material dispersed throughout the phosphor material containing layer is not greater than about 25% by volume of the phosphor material.

Jansma discloses alumina (the UV reflecting material) dispersed in a phosphor material containing layer in a concentration of at least 20 %wt by volume of the phosphor material, which is included in the range disclosed in the instant claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,655 Page 7

Art Unit: 2882

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vriens in

view of Ohuchi.

Vriens discloses, in figure 2 and throughout the disclosure, a light emitting device

comprising:

an LED (21);

a phosphor layer (24); and

a UV reflecting layer.

However, Vriens fails to disclose the LED of the formula In, Ga, Al, N, wherein I, J

and K are each greater than or equal to zero, and I+J+K=1.

Ohuchi discloses an LED of the formula Al_{0.1}Ga_{0.9}N (where In is equal to zero)

(column 2, lines 23+).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use an LED of the formula Al_{0.1}Ga_{0.9}N with that of the

device disclosed by Vriens because an LED of the formula Al_{0.1}Ga_{0.9}N improves

the brightness and intensity of the LED as well as extend the life of the device.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,655

Art Unit: 2882

 $\bullet~$ US Patent 6,593,596 discloses an LED of the formula $\rm In_x Ga_y Al_z N,$ wherein

Page 8

x+y+z=1.

US Patent 5,813,752 discloses a UV reflection mirror between the

substrate and the LED device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Gemmell whose telephone number is (703)

305-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on (703) 308-4858. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for

regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

emg

August 1, 2003

EDWARD J. GLICK

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800